
Daily Insights

Millennials trade down more than others

17 November 2026

The average STC for Gen Z remains the lowest among generations, Millennials have seen the steepest decline since 2018
Bank of America spending tier composite derived from credit and debit card spending on four spending categories (monthly)



Source: Bank of America internal data
Note: BofA's Spending Tier Composite is derived from card spending on apparel, dining, grocery and travel.

BANK OF AMERICA INSTITUTE

Bank of America's proprietary Spending Tier Composite (STC) – which measures how value-conscious shoppers have become – shows Gen Z remain at the bottom of the STC rung, perhaps unsurprisingly as younger consumers tend to earn less and have had less time to build up a financial cushion.

Yet, Millennials stand out. Once the generation with the highest STC, they're increasingly trading down, especially on apparel and groceries. And groceries may be the more telling piece of the story: clothes can wait, but dinner can't. With housing, cars, child care and other bills competing for their wallets, Millennials appear to be hunting for savings even on the everyday essentials.

Read more on trading down in Can consumers escape inflation?

Methodology

Selected Bank of America (BAC) transaction data is used to inform the macroeconomic views expressed in this report and should be considered in the context of other economic indicators and publicly available information. In certain instances, the data may provide directional and/or predictive value. The data used is not comprehensive; it is based on **aggregated and anonymized** selections of Bank of America data and may reflect a degree of selection bias and limitations on the data available.

Three tiers (premium, standard and value) were based on after-tax median income derived from payroll direct deposit of individual customers who have shopped at such stores. The stores were then ranked by the median income of their shoppers, with the top third denoted as "premium," the middle third as "standard," and the bottom third as "value." In our view, such categorization is a fair view of how expensive the items are at those stores. Any stores included has had at least 100,000 individual Bank of America customers making at least one purchase during the past 12 months.

The sample of customers in this analysis includes a dynamic pool of customers that have a checking, a saving or a credit card account with BAC each month. Each customer's tier was determined by taking customer spending during the past 12 months, across the three tiers. The tier with the highest percent of spending will determine the customer's tier for each category. For example, if the customer spent the majority of their apparel dollars at premium tier apparel stores, the customer's apparel tier is designated as premium tier, even though they might still have apparel spending at the value/standard tier. This is repeated for dining (restaurants, bars, etc.), travel (hotel lines, car rental agencies, airlines, etc.), and grocery stores.

For the "spending tier composite", or STC, if a person spends most of their money at the premium tier, that equals a score of "3," while standard is a "2" and value equals "1." This is done across four major spending categories: groceries, apparel, restaurants and/or travel. The highest score that can be achieved is a "12" (if someone spends the majority of their money at premium stores across all four categories), while the lowest is a "3" (if another person spends the majority of their money at value stores for groceries, restaurants, and apparel and has no travel spending). Then, we take the total to get a spending tier composite.

Bank of America credit/debit card spending per household includes spending from active US households only. Only consumer card holders making a minimum of five transactions a month are included in the dataset. Spending from corporate cards are excluded. Data regarding merchants who receive payments are identified and classified by the Merchant Categorization Code (MCC) defined by financial services companies. The data are mapped using proprietary methods from the MCCs to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), which is also used by the Census Bureau, in order to classify spending data by subsector. Spending data may also be classified by other proprietary methods not using MCCs.

Lower, middle and higher household income cuts in Bank of America credit and debit card spending per household, and consumer deposit account data are based on quantitative estimates of each households' income. These quantitative estimates are bucketed according to terciles, with a third of households placed in each tercile periodically. The lowest tercile represents 'lower income', the middle tercile represents 'middle income' and the highest tercile 'higher income'. The income thresholds between these terciles will move over time, reflecting any number of factors that impact income, including general wage inflation, changes in social security payments and individual households' income. The income and tercile in which a household is categorised are periodically re-assessed. However, some of the exhibits are still based on historical income ranges.

Unless otherwise stated, data is not adjusted for seasonality, processing days or portfolio changes, and may be subject to periodic revisions.

Generations, if discussed, are defined as follows: Gen Z, born after 1995; Younger Millennials: born between 1989-1995; Older Millennials: born between 1978-1988; Gen Xers: born between 1965-1977; Baby Boomer: 1946-1964; Traditionalists: pre-1946

Additional information about the methodology used to aggregate the data is available upon request.

Contributors

Liz Everett Krisberg

Head of Bank of America Institute

David Michael Tinsley

Senior Economist, Bank of America Institute

Taylor Bowley

Economist, Bank of America Institute

Disclosures

These materials have been prepared by Bank of America Institute and are provided to you for general information purposes only. To the extent these materials reference Bank of America data, such materials are not intended to be reflective or indicative of, and should not be relied upon as, the results of operations, financial conditions or performance of Bank of America. Bank of America Institute is a think tank dedicated to uncovering powerful insights that move business and society forward. Drawing on data and resources from across the bank and the world, the Institute delivers important, original perspectives on the economy, sustainability and global transformation. Unless otherwise specifically stated, any views or opinions expressed herein are solely those of Bank of America Institute and any individual authors listed, and are not the product of the BofA Global Research department or any other department of Bank of America Corporation or its affiliates and/or subsidiaries (collectively Bank of America). The views in these materials may differ from the views and opinions expressed by the BofA Global Research department or other departments or divisions of Bank of America. Information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but Bank of America does not warrant its completeness or accuracy. These materials do not make any claim regarding the sustainability of any product or service. Any discussion of sustainability is limited as set out herein. Views and estimates constitute our judgment as of the date of these materials and are subject to change without notice. The views expressed herein should not be construed as individual investment advice for any particular person and are not intended as recommendations of particular securities, financial instruments, strategies or banking services for a particular person. This material does not constitute an offer or an invitation by or on behalf of Bank of America to any person to buy or sell any security or financial instrument or engage in any banking service. Nothing in these materials constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice.
Copyright 2026 Bank of America Corporation. All rights reserved.